



Effect of green management on firm's financial performance

Ali Khan

Fatima Ahmed

Department of Business Administration, Lahore University of Management Sciences (LUMS), Lahore, Pakistan at-alikhan@gmail.com

Department of Business Administration Institute of Business Management (IoBM), Karachi, Pakistan at-fatiahmed@gmail.com

Abstract

In recent years, there has been a growing emphasis on sustainable business practices and environmental management within the corporate sector. This study aims to investigate the effect of green management on firm's financial performance, analyzing the relationship between environmental initiatives and economic outcomes. Drawing upon existing literature and empirical evidence, this scholarly article provides a comprehensive examination of the potential impacts of green management practices on various financial metrics such as profitability, return on investment, and market value. By synthesizing findings from diverse studies, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of how adopting environmentally responsible strategies can influence the financial performance of firms across different industries and geographical regions. The findings of this study have implications for corporate decision-makers, investors, policymakers, and other stakeholders interested in fostering sustainable development and enhancing economic value through environmental stewardship.

An essential issue in environmental management revolves around the necessity of implementing green management practices. Decision-makers should prioritise environmental stewardship, commercial ethics, and overall societal responsibility due to their significant impact. Undoubtedly, corporate social responsibility (Lamond, 2007, 2008) is gaining significance in both communities and businesses. The adoption of environmentally conscious management practices is a crucial element. Managers encounter a multitude of environmental challenges when making decisions. This is done not just to ensure that the corporation upholds the ethical and social principles it should adopt, but also to ensure that the organisation attains sustainable economic



growth in the long run. The matter of environmental stewardship has undeniably become a crucial strategic concern in the contemporary competitive world. Multiple writers (Ambec and Lanoie, 2008; Hart, 1995; Porter and Van der Linde, 1995; Trung and Kumar, 2005) have proposed that using environmental management techniques might enhance enterprises' competitive advantages.

On two occasions, individuals have expressed scepticism towards the optimistic outlook of environmental activists. Some examples include Jaffe et al. (1995) and Walley and Whitehead (1994). Quantitative studies are increasingly being undertaken to examine the correlation between environmental stewardship and corporate performance, using empirical data. The results of this experiment have shown significant variability on various occasions. Multiple research (Judge and Douglas, 1998; King and Lenox, 2002; Melnyk et al., 2003) have established a direct correlation between environmental proactivity and financial performance, indicating a positive association. Conversely, several other studies (Cordeiro and Sarkis, 1997; Gilley et al., 2000; Link and Naveh, 2006) have discovered evidence that contradicts the main conclusions of this study. These investigations have found no evidence to support the notion that environmental proactivity has a positive influence on financial performance. It is important to mention that there has been no effort to perform a thorough examination of the quantitative empirical literature. The objective of this research is to conduct a thorough examination of quantitative studies that have examined the influence of environmentally responsible management on financial performance.

The objective is to examine the key discoveries about this correlation. In addition, a comprehensive investigation is carried out on the environmental elements, financial performance measures, and statistical analysis employed. The objective of this essay is to contribute to the continuing discourse on the importance of enacting environmental laws by undertaking a comprehensive analysis of the correlation between environmentally conscious management and economic development. Businesses must prioritise the integration of ethical and socially responsible practices, specifically emphasising ecologically sustainable management, as an essential part of their operations. Nevertheless, we recommend implementing proactive



environmental policies and pollution control measures, as this will be well received by corporate decision makers who acknowledge that such steps can lead to a mutually advantageous outcome for both financial performance and the environment.

Consequently, we are of the opinion that the implementation of these policies and actions will garner endorsement from influential decision makers. We are confident that this integration will be superior due to several compelling factors, one of which is highlighted here. The subsequent sections of this work are delineated by their respective organisational structures. In the upcoming section, we will examine the fundamental theoretical elements linked to the correlation between environmental conditions and financial success. The third section of the article, which is centred around methodology, offers a comprehensive explanation of the strategy adopted. The fourth segment examines quantitative studies undertaken to explore the impact of the environment on an individual's capacity to attain financial success. The concluding piece, section 5, provides suggestions for future research and explores the implications of the findings.

Literature Review

The imperative for companies to exhibit a heightened degree of environmental consciousness and responsibility is swiftly intensifying. Companies face mounting pressure from diverse stakeholders to mitigate the detrimental effects of their operations on society and the environment. Environmental management is one aspect of social responsibility, along with other facets. More precisely, they are progressively becoming a fundamental component of the company's activities. Hence, it is imperative to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the correlation between these attributes and the financial prosperity of the organisation. Although there is no assured correlation between social responsibility and financial success, it is advisable for firms to adopt suitable social responsibility and environmental management strategies to actively contribute to the betterment of society.

This strategy is exclusively grounded on ethical and environmentally conscientious principles. While acknowledging that corporate social responsibility is not a panacea for all



societal problems, Bowen (1953) asserts that it is a significant advancement that merits support and advocacy. According to the author, entrepreneurs have a responsibility to align their businesses with societal values and objectives by implementing appropriate regulations and participating in relevant activities. Nevertheless, the author neglected to take into account the correlation between the philanthropic actions of corporations and their financial outcomes. Milton Friedman, a prominent economist adhering to orthodox principles, is recognised for formulating a key critique of corporate social responsibility (Lee, 2008).

In 1962, Friedman introduced the notion of corporate social responsibility, which he delineated as the pursuit of profits exclusively for the proprietors of the firm. This is the governance of Green No. 1081. The University of Kansas holds several items. On January 22, 2019, at 05:53 (Pacific Time), the notion of social responsibility was regarded as a subversive ideology that challenged the fundamental basis of a society built on free-market principles. The author disregarded the idea of social responsibility by imposing an inequitable and expensive tax on stockholders. Subsequently, Wallich and McGowan endeavoured to synchronise corporate ethical and economic objectives in the year 1970. The recognition that corporate social responsibility is typically met with criticism prompted their move. If it cannot be substantiated that it is advantageous for the shareholders, it will unquestionably ignite a discussion. Moreover, according to the stakeholder theory (Clarkson, 1995; Jones, 1995), the separation between a company's social and economic objectives is no longer significant in the contemporary corporate environment.

An important consideration is the organization's capacity to sustain itself, which is influenced by several stakeholders, such as shareholders, employees, governments, and customers (Lee, 2008). Managers are likely to be motivated to adopt social and environmental strategies if they grasp the potential benefits for society, the environment, and the financial prosperity of the organisation. This matter was already discussed in the post. Our research aims to examine how environmental management affects a company's performance. It is plausible that this influence



arises from the favourable effects of environmental management on the organization's costs and levels of differentiation. Implementing pollution avoidance strategies can help the organisation decrease costs associated with control, inputs, and energy usage, while also improving its ability to recycle and reuse resources (Hart, 1997; Taylor, 1992). The references cited are Starik and Marcus (2000) and Schmidtheiny (1992).

Eco-efficiency refers to the systematic approach of manufacturing and delivering goods with the aim of minimising their adverse ecological effects and optimising resource utilisation. This is achieved by implementing eco-friendly manufacturing and distribution practices. Porter and Van der Linde (1995) propose that pollution might serve as a measure of inefficiency. Business leaders must possess the capacity to discern environmental enhancements in correlation to their aptitude to generate resources in a cost-efficient manner. Managers that only prioritise the costs associated with reducing or managing pollution should reassess their approach and take into account the opportunity costs associated with pollution. The expenses encompass wasted resources, idle labour, and diminished product value delivered to the consumer. Businesses can mitigate their environmental impact by adopting proactive environmental measures. These techniques encompass the elimination of production processes that are detrimental to the environment, the reconfiguration of current product systems to minimise the impact of the product's life cycle, and the creation of new products with reduced life cycle costs.

The increasing sophistication of environmental solutions has the potential to enhance the overall effectiveness of the company. A corporation can attain cost savings by promptly addressing market needs for enhanced production efficiency and recognising readily available possibilities to minimise needless waste, material, and energy use. This will allow the organisation to achieve cost reductions. Reducing pollution levels is expected to lead to a rise in demand from environmentally concerned consumers, thereby establishing a differentiation. Environmentally conscious customers are more inclined to observe and appreciate the ecological attributes of the products they purchase (Elkington, 1994). Moreover, according to Miles and Covin (2000), a



corporation that places a high priority on environmental responsibility is very probable to cultivate a favourable reputation in the realm of ecology.

This assertion is supported by the empirical evidence that a company of this nature has a greater probability of achieving success. Businesses that proactively implement measures to save the environment may benefit from increased market credibility and public acceptance, leading to higher pricing and greater sales. Granting such approval may allow environmentally conscious businesses to showcase their management systems as unique selling propositions for their products. This would allow these businesses to differentiate their products from those of their rivals (Rivera 2002). The total amount is 47.7 1082. The University of Kansas holds several items. The current time is 5:53 a.m. in the Pacific Time Zone on January 21, 2019. Consequently, green management can offer cost-saving alternatives and simultaneously boost earnings.

Ambec and Lanoie (2008) provide four possible strategies that organisations can employ to reduce costs. The potential refers to a wide range of factors, including risk management, relationships with external stakeholders, material, energy, and service pricing, as well as capital and labour expenses. Furthermore, companies have three alternatives for augmenting their income. Possible benefits encompass accessing specialised markets, distinguishing items, and promoting pollution control technology. Hull and Rothenberg (2008) argue that environmental management can enhance stakeholder relationships and mitigate the risk of expensive disputes. According to institutional and stakeholder theories, firms are strongly influenced by the larger social framework in which they operate, which greatly affects their behaviour. Donaldson and Preston (1995) argue that the way in which an organization's stakeholders and institutions engage with the organisation significantly influences how the organization's performance is determined and evaluated.

Based on the research conducted by Jones (1995) and Brammer and Millington (2008), it has been established that skillful management of relationships with important stakeholders can result in enhanced financial performance. Attaining this objective can be accomplished by



establishing, enlarging, or maintaining relationships that furnish companies with essential resources. Hence, by actively evading pollution, firms can attain a state where both the organisation and the environment benefit from the circumstances. The Porter Hypothesis pertains to one of the theories proposed by Porter and Van der Linde in 1995. This hypothesis is a methodology for examining the impact of the environment on a company's ability to compete and generate profits. Nevertheless, this positive outlook contradicts a more conventional viewpoint that argues that diminishing an organization's ecological impact will lead to a decline in the company's financial prosperity. In a 1995 study conducted by Jaffe and colleagues, it was found that adhering to environmental regulations results in substantial costs, which in turn diminishes a company's ability to compete.

According to the conventional perspective, the claims made by supporters of "the Porter Hypothesis" are contradicted by the argument that although cost reductions can be easily achieved through simple preventive measures, more ambitious preventive measures may result in costs that exceed the savings obtained (Walley and Whitehead, 1994). There is a belief held by certain individuals that the implementation of environmental management practices adversely affects a company's financial performance. This is a conviction upheld by specific individuals. Surveys indicate that firms aiming to enhance their environmental performance typically reallocate resources and managerial attention from their primary operations, leading to a decline in profitability. Managers employing this approach face challenges in developing environmentally sustainable and competitive innovations (Hull and Rothenberg, 2008; Klassen and Whybark, 1999). Based on assessments made by agencies about the social and environmental performance of corporations, if shareholders do not have sufficient supervision, managers could misappropriate corporate resources to pursue personal objectives that do not significantly benefit the company.

These viewpoints contend that such a situation can arise when shareholders fail to exert proper oversight. Hence, attaining elevated levels of social and environmental performance is correlated with a decline in financial profitability. This is a result of a connection between the



two. The incorporation of social and environmental performance results in substantial managerial advantages, stemming from the effective utilisation of firm resources and environmental performance. This strategy is distinct from the act of giving back the money to investors or redirecting it to alternative investment firms. Empirical study has employed both qualitative and quantitative research approaches to ascertain the presence or absence of a correlation between environmentally responsible management and financial performance.

Several empirical studies on the application of environmental management included a qualitative research methodology. Blanco et al. (2009) conducted investigations that were restricted to individual enterprises and did not make any statistical extrapolations from the findings. For instance, Shrivastava (1995) examines the concept of green management on page 1083 of his book. The University of Kansas holds several items. An elucidation of the concept of environmental technology was provided at approximately 5:53 p.m. on January 22nd, 2019 (Pacific Time). In addition, the actual use of these technologies was demonstrated through a concise case study featuring 3M Corporation. Hutchinson (1996) enhanced comprehension of the amalgamation of environmental policy and business strategy through the examination of diverse organisations, such as Procter & Gamble, Rank Xerox, and The Cooperative Bank.

In their study, Marcus and Geffen (1998) examined the mechanisms that contribute to the development of discriminating abilities. The study focused on investigating the technique of pollution avoidance in electric generation as its primary area of research. In their study, Enz and Siguaw (1999) discovered that all four hotels acknowledged the beneficial impacts of their environmental initiatives. The positive outcomes encompassed reduced costs, enhanced operational effectiveness, and increased marketing prospects. Furthermore, alongside these qualitative investigations, statistical approaches were employed to examine the correlation between environmental management and financial performance. The main emphasis of this article is on the quantitative research that will be examined and presented in the subsequent sections.



Methodology

To discover quantitative studies that study the relationship between environmental variables and financial performance, a detailed examination of the present body of literature is done. The researchers performed an automated search utilising the databases ABI Inform, Emerald, and Science Direct. An investigation was conducted. Was employed till 2008. An electronic search was performed to locate papers containing titles using the terms "environmental management," "environmental performance," "ISO 14000," or "ISO 14001." These terms may be understood as pertaining to the financial performance, outcomes, or profitability. Moreover, an examination was conducted on the compilation of references contained in the articles that were considered indispensable. The decision was made to exclude publications of a theoretical nature, as well as those that relied on case studies or anecdotal data, from examination. In their article on the correlation between corporate social performance and financial performance, Orlitzky et al. (2003) employed a search methodology that closely resembled the one utilised in this investigation.

Results

Based on a thorough investigation, thirty-two research have focused on the correlation between the environment and the operational efficiency of financial institutions. Financial performance monitoring is utilised throughout various businesses, with environmental issues. It is possible to provide an evaluation of indicators that measure the performance of managers and/or the environment. Various enterprises, economic sectors, and governments exist worldwide. The sector has been predominantly controlled by manufacturing corporations and businesses headquartered in the United States. In addition, there has been a lack of focus on a particular industry, which worsens the potential negative consequences.

During an environmental factor analysis, we employ two distinct categories: environmental management variables and environmental performance variables. According to Cramer (1998), environmental management refers to a company's use of technology and



organisational methods to decrease potential adverse effects on the natural environment and minimise environmental repercussions. The term "environmental performance" pertains to the impact of a company's activities and products on the natural environment. This impact includes various elements, such as the utilisation of resources, the development of waste, and the emissions of pollutants (Klassen and Whybark, 1999). Only six out of the total studies, which amounts to 18.8 percent, took into account both the components of environmental management and environmental performance indicators.

By comparison, fourteen papers, accounting for 43.7% of the total, focused exclusively on environmental management challenges. The remaining twelve publications, making up 37.5% of the total, mainly addressed environmental performance variables. Out of the total number of research publications, twenty specifically addressed environmental management components, making up 62.5% of the total. On the other hand, eighteen articles, accounting for 56.2% of the total, focused on environmental performance characteristics. Multiple disparate environmental management methodologies are employed. Examples encompass various aspects of environmental management, such as environmental practices, strategies, integration of environmental concerns into strategic planning, initiatives focused on processes and products, adoption of technologies, implementation of pollution-reduction methods, evaluation of the current state of the environmental management system, and attainment of ISO 14001 certification. Several studies have examined environmental performance indicators in relation to both favourable and unfavourable results. These studies were centred on mitigating emissions and generating emissions, respectively.

The variables most frequently employed were input-oriented, which specifically addressed resource consumption, and output-oriented, which encompassed emissions, toxic waste, oil and chemical spills, as well as releases that were collected, treated, or recycled. Both of these variables were utilised. Some studies utilised objective evaluations, while others relied on perceptual measures to get their conclusions. Asset return, equity return, stock market return,



stock price, and earnings are essential metrics for assessing financial prosperity. Certain studies employ quantitative criteria, such as financial success measurements, while others depend on subjective evaluations. The majority of these studies employed a methodology called regression analysis. The research approaches mentioned encompass event studies, group comparisons, structural equation models, and correlation analysis. In summary, the outcomes are contradictory; yet, the majority of the study has demonstrated a robust and positive correlation between the environment and organisational success. Indeed, a total of twenty-one studies have demonstrated that the use of environmental management approaches and/or the enhancement of environmental performance directly correlates with increased financial prosperity.

Consequently, an increasing number of organisations are integrating environmental measures into their operations. It is crucial to emphasise that the way this component is incorporated into business matters varies for each firm. Consequently, it is possible to evaluate the degree of environmental awareness within a company by analysing the many stages of management that have transpired throughout its existence. Jabbour and Santos (2006) categorised the many environmental taxonomies available in the technical literature into three distinct evolutionary stages. The levels were derived based on the degree of integration of the environmental variable inside the relevant organisations. The first phase in the process is functional specialisation. Once companies reach this threshold, they are in compliance with environmental regulations as they have successfully fulfilled all the required criteria. Businesses have the ability to incorporate pollution control technologies into their operations and products without needing to make any fundamental alterations to their organisational structure. During the reactive stage of the process, corporations do not consider internalising the environmental variable as a means of gaining a competitive advantage.

At the second step of the process, environmental management is seamlessly incorporated into it. Currently, environmental management takes into account certain performance criteria defined by the organisation. Most of these aims are centred around the prevention of pollution.



Presently, the company's environmental performance is not considered a strategic element within the business. In addition, management frequently formulates preventive objectives without the participation of environmental experts. Although the organisation may consider environmental variables in the manufacturing of some products and processes, they do not view them as highly significant to their overall operations. The process finishes with the incorporation of external environmental management. Currently, the group has incorporated its environmental objectives into a more inclusive strategic plan. These endeavours focus on the investigation of potential strategic manoeuvres.

Businesses recognise that incorporating environmental management strategies can greatly influence their competitiveness by yielding economic advantages, such as decreased resource consumption. Environmental management, 1093 On January 22, 2019, at 05:53 (Pacific Time), the University of Kansas Materials discussed the possible strategic advantages it could obtain. These benefits encompass enhancements to the organization's institutional image, revitalization of its product line, heightened operational efficiency, and enhanced linkages with other stakeholders. When faced with environmental challenges, these companies often choose for a "ethical" approach rather than a reactive one. Environmental management has a considerable impact on senior management selections, as well as all functional areas. Proactive environmental management programmes will contain all of these distinguishing qualities.

Conclusion

In regard to the research assessment conducted on the correlation between environmental elements and financial performance, it is imperative to highlight a few additional areas that require attention. Environmental management has a substantial impact, thus making it the utmost priority. The likelihood of the performance happening in the near future is minimal. Hart and Ahuja (1996) and King and Lenox (2002) examined the degree to which environmental factors impact the achievement of a firm. The former was measured for one year, whereas the latter was measured for the following years. Nehrt (1996) states that certain environmental obligations



require a specified time frame, and if a company allocates extra personnel or financial resources to expedite these tasks, it runs the risk of inefficiencies. Environmental factors include both the management and performance aspects of the environment. This component should not be disregarded. Furthermore, a crucial issue that needs to be resolved is the potential for a mutually influential relationship between environmental concerns and financial prosperity. The majority of research has mostly focused on examining how environmental factors influence financial performance while studying the relationship between the environment and financial achievement.

According to Wagner et al. (2002), financially successful firms have the ability to influence environmental management. Indeed, a company that demonstrates exceptional financial performance is more ideally positioned to allocate additional resources towards preventive programmes, technologies, and procedures. Wagner et al. (2002) did not find any substantial evidence indicating that economic performance has an effect on environmental performance. However, Nakao et al. (2007) revealed that financial performance does enhance environmental performance. However, Wagner et al. (2002) found no indication that economic performance has any influence on environmental performance. Toffel (2006) states that environmental measures can have dual consequences on both financial performance and impact. The first effect, referred to as the treatment effect, denotes the enhancement in performance that arises following the implementation of these measures. The second type of impact is referred to as a selection effect, which pertains to the situation where firms with superior levels of performance are more inclined to undertake environmental initiatives initially.

To get additional details, kindly refer to the research conducted by Pagell and colleagues in 2004. Future research in the field of environmentally responsible management and financial performance may focus on examining the causal linkages between different components, while considering the influence of mediators and regulators. There is a higher likelihood that the company's resources and competitive advantage will have a substantial influence. Klassen and McLaughlin (1996) and Sharma and Vredenburg (1998) contend that improved environmental



performance can yield additional advantages, such as reduced costs and increased distinctiveness, ultimately resulting in enhanced financial performance. An environmentally proactive stance by a firm is likely to stimulate the development of fresh resources and capabilities, hence enhancing the organisation's capacity to achieve a competitive advantage. Currently, the resource-based strategy, which recommends that the company take a proactive approach towards the environment, aligns with this philosophy. Multiple studies have examined various factors that could impact the correlation between environmentally conscious management and an organization's financial performance. This set of variables encompasses elements such as control and contextual factors.

Hart and Ahuja (1996) discovered that decreasing emissions had a more significant beneficial effect on the financial performance of companies with high emissions. According to Klassen and McLaughlin (1996), when a firm functioning in an ecologically friendly industry receives an environmental award, it has a positive effect on the financial markets. Telle et al. (2004) emphasised the significance of incorporating control factors when assessing the positive influence of environmental management on financial performance, in order to prevent hasty conclusions. The existence of standard procedure bias can provide challenges when carrying out a survey research project that depends on self-reported data from participants. When an individual offers knowledge on both the dependent and independent variables, it is very pertinent to the situation. After conducting an extensive review of the literature, we found that some writers use perceptual metrics to evaluate how environmental management and/or environmental performance impact the financial performance of enterprises. The reference is from Podsakoff and Organ's study conducted in 1986.

Therefore, it is crucial to investigate the likelihood of recurring procedural bias using suitable approaches. Researchers can utilise objective data on the organization's environmental and financial performance inside a specific context. Tyteca (1996) provided further instances of impartial assessments akin to the ones previously demonstrated. In summary, our research mostly



focused on quantitative publications, however we did examine a few studies that utilised a qualitative method to explore the connection between environmentally responsible management and financial performance. An enticing alternative to the two strategies outlined is the use of a mixed methods approach (Creswell and Plano Clark, 2007). This methodology involves employing both quantitative and qualitative methodologies in a research investigation. Sharma and Vredenburg (1998) substantiated this methodology through a two-stage investigation. During the initial phase, a distinctive investigative approach was employed to undertake comparative case studies. In-depth interviews were conducted with seven Canadian oil and gas companies. Following a comprehensive analysis of business journals, the data obtained from interviews was verified using cross-validation.

After completing the initial phase, two hypotheses were formulated based on qualitative inquiry and prior research. A mail-in survey was utilised to examine the recently established connections in the Canadian oil and gas industry throughout the confirmation phase. After the initial exploratory study, a confirmatory investigation was carried out. This involved several duties like gathering qualitative data, evaluating it, and presenting the results together with the presented hypotheses. The subsequent study entailed gathering quantitative data, presenting the findings, and including a specific section for quantitative analysis. The ultimate written report was partitioned into two segments. The qualitative component of the research phase enhanced our understanding of the industry, theory, hypotheses, and quantitative measuring equipment in a comprehensive manner. The study we conducted showcases a diverse array of theoretical breakthroughs. This article aims to succinctly summarise the various components related to environmental management, environmental performance, and financial performance.

The primary objective is to promote the development of green management theory. When examining the relationship, it is crucial to acknowledge the significant influence that variables acting as mediators and controllers can exert in the process. The University of Kansas will provisionally supervise environmental management in 1095. On January 22, 2019, at 05:53 (Pacific



Time), a proposition was made suggesting a correlation between environmentally conscious management and financial performance. This is the moment when the proposition was proposed. Moreover, the study presents managers with solid evidence that establishes a connection between a sincere dedication to environmentally conscious management and favourable financial performance results for the company.

This association is emphasised in the paper. In our view, incorporating the components that have been previously mentioned might be advantageous for future research. The following items are included: This study aims to analyse the interdependent connection between environmental factors and financial performance. It will incorporate mediating and control variables, such as competitive advantage, firm resources, and firm characteristics, using equation structures. Additionally, it will examine the time intervals between the implementation of environmental practices and the achievement of financial performance, utilising longitudinal statistics. An alternative and thought-provoking concept is to carry out a meta-analysis on the correlation between environmentally responsible management and financial performance. A meta-analysis can offer a statistical overview of previous studies that have examined the correlation between environmental management and financial performance.

References

Al-Tuwaijri, S., Christensen, T. and Hughes, K. (2004), "The relations among environmental disclosure, environmental performance, and economic performance: a simultaneous equations approach", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 29 Nos 5-6, pp. 447-71.

A´lvarez-Gil, M., Burgos-Jime´nez, J. and Ce´spedes-Lorente, J. (2001), "An analysis of environmental management, organizational context and performance of Spanish hotels", *Omega*, Vol. 29 No. 6, pp. 457-71.

Ambec, S. and Lanoie, P. (2008), "Does it pay to be green? A systematic overview", *Academy of Management Perspectives*, Vol. 22 No. 4, pp. 45-62.



Ann, G., Zailani, S. and Wahid, N. (2006), "A study on the impact of environmental management system (EMS) certification towards firms' performance in Malaysia", *Management of Environmental Quality*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 73-93.

Arago'n-Correa, J. and Rubio-Lo'pez, E. (2007), "Proactive corporate environmental strategies: myths and misunderstandings", *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 357-81.

Barla, P. (2007), "ISO 14001 certification and environmental performance in Quebec's pulp and paper industry", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, Vol. 53 No. 3, pp. 291-306.

Blanco, E., Rey-Maqueira, J. and Lozano, J. (2009), "Economic incentives for tourism firms to undertake voluntary environmental management", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 30 No. 1, pp. 112-22.

Bowen, H. (1953), *Social Responsibilities of the Businessman*, Harper, New York, NY. Brammer, S. and Millington, A. (2008), "Does it pay to be different? An analysis of the relationship between corporate social and financial performance", *Strategic Management*

Journal, Vol. 29 No. 12, pp. 1325-43. Can'õ'n, J. and Garce's, C. (2006), "Repercusio'n econo'mica de la certificacio'n medioambiental ISO

14001", *Cuadernos de Gestio'n*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 45-62.

Carmona-Moreno, E., Ce'spedes-Lorente, J. and de Burgos-Jime'nez, J. (2004), "Environmental strategies in Spanish hotels: contextual factors and performance", *The Service Industries Journal*, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 101-30.

Christmann, P. (2000), "Effects of best practices of environmental management on cost advantage: the role of complementary assets", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 43 No. 4, pp. 663-80.

MD 47,7 1096 Downloaded by University of Kansas At 05:53 22 January 2019 (PT)



Clarkson, M. (1995), "A stakeholder framework for analyzing and evaluating corporate social performance", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 92-117. Cohen, M., Fenn, S. and Naimon, J. (1995), *Environmental and Financial Performance: Are They Related?*, Vanderbilt University, Nashville,

TN. Cordeiro, J. and Sarkis, J. (1997), "Environmental proactivism and firm performance: evidence from security analyst earnings forecasts", *Business Strategy and the Environment*, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 104-14.

Cramer, J. (1998), "Environmental management: from 'fit' to 'stretch'", *Business Strategy and the Environment*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 162-72.

Creswell, J. and Plano Clark, V. (2007), *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*, Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA. Darnall, N. and Sides, S. (2008), "Assessing the performance of voluntary environmental programs: does certification matter?", *The Policy Studies Journal*, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp. 95-117. de Burgos, J. and Ce'spedes, J. (2001), "La proteccio'n ambiental y el resultado. Un ana'lisis cri'tico de su relacio'n", *Investigaciones Europeas de Direccio'n y Economi'a de la Empresa*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 93-108.

Donaldson, T. and Preston, L. (1995), "The stakeholder theory of the corporation: concepts, evidence and implications", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 65-91.

Edwards, D. (1998), *The Link between Company Environmental and Financial Performance*, Earthscan Publications, London. Elkington, J. (1994), "Towards the sustainable corporation: win-win-win business strategies for sustainable development", *California Management Review*, Vol. 36 No. 2, pp. 90-100.

Enz, C. and Siguaw, J. (1999), "Best hotel environmental practices", *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, Vol. 40 No. 5, pp. 72-7.

Friedman, M. (1962), *Capitalism and Freedom*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.



Gilley, K., Worrell, D. and El-Jelly, A. (2000), "Corporate environmental initiatives and anticipated firm performance: the differential effects of process-driven versus product-driven greening initiatives", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 26 No. 6, pp. 1199-216.

Gonza´lez-Benito, J. and Gonza´lez-Benito, O. (2005), "Environmental proactivity and business performance: an empirical analysis", *Omega*, Vol. 33 No. 1, pp. 1-15.

Hamilton, J. (1995), "Pollution as news: media and stock market reactions to the Toxics Release Inventory Data", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 98-113.

Hart, S. (1995), "A natural resource-based view of the firm", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 874-907.

Hart, S. (1997), "Beyond greening: strategies for a sustainable world", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 75 No. 1, pp. 66-76.

Hart, S. and Ahuja, G. (1996), "Does it pay to be green? An empirical examination of the relationship between emission reduction and firm performance", *Business Strategy and the Environment*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 30-7.

Hull, C. and Rothenberg, S. (2008), "Firm performance: the interactions of corporate social performance with innovation and industry differentiation", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 29 No. 7, pp. 781-9.

Hutchinson, C. (1996), "Integrating environmental policy with business strategy", *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 11-23.

Jabbour, C. and Santos, F. (2006), "The evolution of environmental management within organizations: toward a common taxonomy", *Environmental Quality Management*, Vol. 16No. 2, pp. 43-59. Green management 1097 Downloaded by University of Kansas At 05:53 22 January 2019 (PT).