Citation:

URL: https://garjournal.com/index.php/Journal

Governance accounting archive review
E(ISSN) 3006-2519, P(ISSN) 3006-2527

Page no: 149-165

The examination of corporate governance and company profitability Developed and developing economies

Hassan Iftikhar
Rabia Yousaf
Zain Jawad
Department of Management Sciences Iqra University, Islamabad
at-hassanifti@gmail.com
Department of Management Sciences Iqra University, Islamabad
at-rabia@gmail.com
Department of Management Sciences Iqra University, Islamabad
at-zainjawad7888@gmail.com

Abstract

This study will use a framework based on agency theory to conduct a thorough investigation of how corporate governance regimes impact the profitability of enterprises in both developed and developing nations. Study findings indicate that there might be significant disparities in organisational efficiency between developed and poor nations. It is recognised that industrialised countries have distinct challenges. In order to provide a comprehensive response to this issue, the literature review encompasses significant research conducted in both well-established and emerging economies. A comprehensive study was conducted to evaluate the specific influence of different corporate governance systems on profitability. This inquiry was carried out in line with the existing body of research on accounting and finance. Insufficient focus has been given to the complex interplay between specific components of corporate governance systems and their potential influence on total profitability. This research aims to provide a comprehensive analysis that considers the overall influence of several corporate governance difficulties on business profitability. The aim of this study is to attain this objective in order to address the existing void. This research will provide illumination on both mature economies and nascent ones.

Introduction

Citation:
URL: https://garjournal.com/index.php/Journal

Governance accounting archive review
E(ISSN) 3006-2519, P(ISSN) 3006-2527
Page no: 149-165

Several recent and continuing research studies have shown a significant interest in investigating the influence of strong corporate governance regulations on the performance of companies in both developed and developing countries. The global landscape has seen significant disruptions and calamities, leading to the collapse of crucial enterprises in both advanced and emerging economies. Therefore, it is clear that there is a pressing need for a comprehensive regulatory framework that can efficiently oversee and manage companies across a wide range of businesses, including both financial and non-financial sectors. The primary objective is to establish a comprehensive regulatory framework aimed at guaranteeing stability in the domestic financial market, ultimately leading to stability in the global financial system.

The findings of Alabdullah and colleagues' (2014b) study provide evidence in favour of these conclusions. The word "corporate governance" became widely recognised in the 1970s, coinciding with the Watergate incident in the United States. This discovery emphasised the participation of American corporations in political matters by revealing their financial contributions to various political parties. Numerous financial scandals occurred throughout the latter part of the twentieth century. The following scandals were involved: Guinness (1986), Poly Peck International (1989), Maxwell (1991), BCCI (1991), Enron (2001), Allied Irish Bank (2002), WorldCom (2002), Xerox (2002), Merrill Lynch (2002), Parmalat (2003/2004), and Andersen (2001/2002). These crises caused significant disruptions in the banking industry and created substantial concerns over the reliability of corporate governance processes. Le Roy and Marchesnay (2005) argue that the growing use of financial innovations, particularly derivatives, has led to the virtualization of corporate operations and the implementation of deceptive accounting techniques to mislead financial analysts.

They contend that this was necessary to get the desired outcome. Within the framework of globalisation and sustainable development, it is essential to design novel performance standards that beyond the objective of creating economic growth. Adhering to social and environmental norms enhances the performance of businesses working within this framework.

Citation:
URL: https://garjournal.com/index.php/Journal

Governance accounting archive review
E(ISSN) 3006-2519, P(ISSN) 3006-2527
Page no: 149-165

Dinu (2008) asserts that the ethical dimension of business has emerged as a significant point of contention among both scholars and professionals in the field. Given the evolving economic landscape, there is a transition in the methods used to oversee corporations within the context of corporate governance systems, particularly with regards to organisational frameworks.

Literature review

For many years, scholars have concentrated on corporate governance, specifically examining its connection to profitability and the financial success of companies. Multiple studies have examined the factors that impact profitability, particularly the correlation between corporate governance (CG) and financial success. The study examined the composition and characteristics of the board by analysing data from TSE annual reports and business websites, employing a sample size of 240 firms. The research focused on corporate governance as the independent variable and business performance as the dependent variable.

Alabdullah et al. (2018), Alabdullah et al. (2020a), and Alabdullah & Ahmed (2020b) examined the correlation between corporate governance (CG) measures and key performance indicators (KPIs) of companies, including return on equity (ROE), return on assets (ROA), and earnings per share (EPS). Their particular focus was on determining the correlation between these variables and financial prosperity. The research included corporate governance (CG) factors, such as the composition of the board of directors, the size of the board, the level of board independence, the presence of institutional investors, and the board leadership structure (CEO duality).

The data indicated a substantial inverse correlation between the size of the board and all measures of business performance, including return on equity (ROE), return on assets (ROA), and earnings per share (EPS). Regarding performance, it was shown that using huge board sizes had a significant adverse effect. Conversely, there was a significant correlation between all corporate performance measures and board independence, suggesting that board independence contributes to improving firm performance. Conversely, there was no observed association

between having two leaders on the board and any performance measures. A similar finding contradicted the earlier research conducted by Yermack (1996) and Klein (1998), which found no correlation between institutional directorship and business success.

Alabdullah's (2021) study found a positive correlation between management ownership and business performance. Nevertheless, there exists an adverse correlation between the level of director independence, particularly non-executives, and the overall success of the corporation. The results of Sanders' (2006) study support the idea that there is a direct correlation between management ownership and business performance, indicating a positive association. Multiple studies, conducted by Ahmed et al. (2014), Alabdullah et al. (2018, 2014a, 2014b), Alabdullah and Eny (2021), Alfadhl and Alabdullah (2013), and Ahmed (2014), have examined the correlation between corporate governance and financial performance across all insurance businesses in Ghana. The findings indicate a positive correlation between corporate governance factors and the financial success of insurance companies in Ghana.

This underscores the need of implementing strong corporate governance standards. In pakistan, Ehikioya (2009) conducted a research utilising a sample of 107 publicly listed Nigerian enterprises to evaluate the correlation between the structure of corporate governance institutions and the performance of companies. The investigation's findings indicated that factors such as the makeup of the board (including non-executive directors and board size) and management ownership did not have any discernible effect on the firm's performance. Jackling and Johl (2009) examined the internal corporate governance structures and corporate performance of India's most prestigious companies. The findings of the investigation contradicted Yermack's (1996) claim that a smaller board of directors was beneficial for company progress.

The investigation revealed that a bigger board of directors has a significant and advantageous impact on organisational performance. In addition, the research found a positive link between the number of non-executive directors (often referred to as external directors) and business success, whereas a negative correlation was seen between firm performance and the dual

function. Lawal (2012) performed a comprehensive analysis of existing literature to examine the correlation between board dynamics and the achievement of business goals. The assessment underscored the need of doing research on the correlation between board characteristics and company success. The investigation's findings indicate that establishing and conceptualising an optimal board of directors are factors that enhance business success. Moreover, Tobin's Q has been used in several research to examine the correlation between corporate governance standards and business value.

A study sample of all publicly listed Chinese firms operating between 1999 and 2001 was generated using secondary data obtained from annual reports. During the course of this argument, it was determined that both management and foreign ownership exerted a significant and favourable influence on the value of commercial companies. However, the study revealed that the combination of a CEO and Chairman holding the same post had a negative impact on the company's success. Over the years, several research have been undertaken to investigate governance systems and the correlation between these processes and institutional functioning. Alabdullah and colleagues undertook a research to examine how corporate governance rules and ownership structure affect the financial performance of publicly listed Jordanian firms. The researchers performed regression tests to evaluate the correlation between corporate governance procedures, ownership structure, and the growth of profitability.

Empirical results regarding the correlation between corporate governance and business performance

A substantial amount of study has been conducted in academic literature to evaluate empirical data about the correlation between corporate governance and commercial success. In 2001, McKinsey performed a study on the level of understanding that institutional investors had about corporate governance in emerging countries, namely in Asia, South-East Europe, and Latin America. The current research reveals that these investors give equal importance to both company governance and financial information when making investment choices. Furthermore, they

expressed their willingness to provide a greater remuneration for companies that adhere to corporate governance standards and regulations.

The market value of firms operating in South-Eastern Europe and Africa has the potential to increase by up to 30%. In 2002, Standard & Poor's performed a subsequent research to assess the impact of effective corporate governance standards on organisational performance and efficiency. This research analysed a statistically representative sample of 1,600 publicly traded firms that are listed on prominent stock exchanges worldwide. These companies represent 75% of the worldwide stock market. The Price to Book Ratio (PBR) indicates a significant correlation between the level of transparency provided by annual reports and both the market risk and market worth of the company. The discovery revealed that the magnitude of this correlation had a substantial impact. The study's findings provide indisputable evidence of the existence of this link.

Research Objective

Firstly, we will conduct empirical study to comprehensively investigate several theories on the influence of corporate governance structure on the implementation of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and business success. Furthermore, the findings of the research will be used to build a thorough framework for evaluating the overall efficacy. During an organisational evaluation, many performance measurements demonstrate inherent interconnections.

Based on this information, we may draw the following conclusions on the objectives of our research:

a) Developing an economic grading system to evaluate business governance in Pakistan.

b) Conducting an empirical examination to assess the impact of adhering to sound corporate governance standards on companies' commitment to fulfilling their social responsibility commitments.

Citation:
URL: https://garjournal.com/index.php/Journal

Governance accounting archive review
E(ISSN) 3006-2519, P(ISSN) 3006-2527
Page no: 149-165

b) Performing the requisite examinations to ascertain if the deployment of effective corporate governance systems leads to the issuance of a "Comply or Explain Statement."

d) Performing hypothesis testing to ascertain the influence of efficient company governance methods on growth rates, investment inclination, liquidity ratios, leverage ratios, and overall financial performance. The primary goal of the empirical research is to develop an econometric model capable of comprehensively analysing corporate performance.

Research Method

At first, our sample selection prioritised firms that were listed on the PSX trading platform. As of the conclusion of 2012, a total of 166 firms were listed on the PSX. The examination consisted of a total of forty-eight businesses, divided into several groups. Group I had twenty-eight firms, Group II included fifty-two firms, Group III had just one company, and there were twenty-six firms that were not classified into any group. The overall number of enterprises operating inside the organisation is considered. Non-listed companies are exempt from submitting a "Comply or Explain Statement" or reporting their adherence to the PSX's standards. Consequently, we failed to give them the amount of consideration that was necessary. Consequently, we selected 81 companies listed on the PSX that have the capacity to be classified into one of three categories: I, II, or III. In addition, our research excluded global firms and financial institutions, such as banks. The sample is composed of seventy-six publicly listed companies on the PlayStation Exchange, and it is both decisive and very reliable.

Hypothesis

Our main objective is to assess the statistical hypotheses put out in academic literature regarding the influence of effective corporate social responsibility efforts on the demonstration of effective business practices. This will allow us to achieve the secondary objectives of the study.

Citation:

URL: https://garjournal.com/index.php/Journal

Governance accounting archive review
E(ISSN) 3006-2519, P(ISSN) 3006-2527

Page no: 149-165

Additionally, several financial indicators, such as measures of financial success, are considered. Presented below are the operational hypotheses, along by a compilation of the factors that were employed in each instance:

H1: Companies' adherence to the "Comply or Explain Statement" demonstrates their commitment to sound corporate governance practices.

a) Numeric variable CG is an acronym for "corporate governance score."

b) The presence or absence of the "Comply or Explain Statement" is indicated by the binary variable CE.

Companies demonstrate their adherence to sound corporate governance procedures through the implementation of a corporate social responsibility strategy.

a) CG, or computer graphics, is composed of various aspects that contribute to its overall makeup: G represents the structure of governance, I represents the interaction with investors, B represents the board and management, and F represents the disclosure of financial information.

b) The binary variable CSR is an acronym that stands for "Corporate Social Responsibility." The value is "YES" if the corporation engages in corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities and "NO" if it does not.

Adopting suitable corporate governance principles significantly influences the company's overall financial performance. Finance utilises certain abbreviations to denote various ratios and statistics. As an illustration, the acronym "CAP" represents market capitalization, "PBR" denotes price-to-book ratio, "TQ" signifies Tobin's Q ratio, "ROA" stands for return on assets, and "ROE" represents return on equity. Undoubtedly, robust business regulations have a direct influence on the rates of economic growth. Computer graphics act as an initial starting point. An integer-dependent variable. The variable GROW, often referred to as the relative change in turnover compared to the basic turnover, quantifies the rate at which turnover is increasing. The journal in

question is titled Journal of Business Economics and Management. The document containing official information and statements issued by an organisation or individual to the media. The SUNY Health Science Centre discovered this discovery on March 30, 2015, at 15:59.

There are a total of six of them. There is a positive correlation between a company's liquidity and the implementation of good corporate governance principles. Firstly, let's address the concept of CG. The relationship between working capital and assets (FLEX) and cash flow to assets (CW) is a quantitative variable that quantifies the degree of economic flexibility. High levels of financial leverage indicate the implementation of effective corporate governance practices. CG (a) and LEV (b) are numerical values that indicate the extent of financial leverage, which is calculated by dividing total debt by equity. Hypothesis 7: The utilization of effective corporate governance practices leads to a decreased likelihood of investment. The equity to fixed assets ratio can be determined using the formulas provided in (a) CG and (b) EFA: The ratio of shareholder equity to fixed assets.

Results

The subsequent analysis presents the outcomes that were pertinent to the hypothesis under investigation. We have achieved a statistically significant outcome at a significance level of 1%, so confirming the initial hypothesis using the chosen dataset. If the correlation coefficient, with a value of 0.684, is deemed to be statistically significant, the p-value of 0.000 confirms this conclusion. With a magnitude of 0.000, the value might be considered negligible. During an analysis of variance (ANOVA), the Fisher test produces a p-value that is comparable to other tests in identifying the significance of the data. Based on the descriptive data collected by the group, those who submitted the "Comply or Explain Statement" had an average governance score that was three times higher than those who did not submit the statement. For more details, please see Appendix 2, accessible at this location. The connection between the "Comply or Explain Statement" publication and the establishment of a comprehensive corporate governance structure is evident. Prior research (McKinsey 2001; Standard & Poor's 2002; Aksu, Kosedag 2006;

Stilgbauer 2010; Fulop 2011) has shown that this correlation aligns with the results of earlier investigations.

The second hypothesis establishes a statistically significant correlation with a confidence level of 99%, yielding findings that are comparable to those of the first hypothesis. With a significance level of 0.002 obtained from the statistical analysis, it is fair to assert that the findings are backed by a substantial degree of confidence. Moreover, the correlation value of 0.651 indicates a moderate level of connection between the variables. Every evaluation of the corporate governance score and the level of social responsibility for each component consistently produces a significant value of 0.000, so confirming the hypotheses and providing empirical proof of their validity. The relationship between the various elements of governance and firms' obligations to their communities is inherently interwoven and unaffected by any prevailing circumstances. The Board of Directors and management have the most significant influence (0.642), while investor relations have the least significant effect (0.522).

The correlation analysis yielded the following findings: There is a strong and statistically significant correlation between corporate governance (CG) and stock performance indices (CAP, PBR, and TQ) with a confidence level of 95%. Nevertheless, the correlation is quite weak. There is a distinct correlation between the two. The maximum correlation between CG and PBR score is 0.27. At a significance level of 1%, there exists a substantial correlation between CG and return on assets (ROA). However, there is no statistically significant association between CG and return on equity (ROE).

The third hypothesis was confirmed and shown to be true by the use of performance indicators such as ROA (moderate intensity), PBR (low intensity), CAP, and TQ (very weak intensity). Conversely, there is no notable correlation between the two factors in terms of return on equity. The study's results provide conclusive evidence of a correlation between ethical business practices and the financial success of companies. It aligns with the results of prior research undertaken by experts in the field. The correlation value of 0.263 suggests a modest and

positive link between turnover and governance, with a 95% confidence level for this association. The correlation coefficient of 0.209, which is statistically significant at the 10% level, indicates a moderate association between the growth rate and the governance score, similar to the previous point. The evidence presented here bolsters the credibility of Hypothesis 4, which is substantiated by the offered data. The study's results indicate that there is no significant correlation between corporate governance standards and liquidity variables such as financial flexibility or cash flow from assets. Here is the conclusion of the research. It may be deduced that the fifth hypothesis is now invalid.

The correlation coefficient between corporate governance and financial leverage is -0.156, suggesting a weak negative relationship between these two factors. Consequently, there exists a link between enhanced governance systems and reduced levels of debt. Refuting this assumption results in the inference that the link is not just unstable, but also harmful. The findings do not substantiate the seventh hypothesis since there is no statistically significant correlation between the corporate governance score and investors' desire to invest. This indicates that the data lack any substantiating evidence for the seventh hypothesis. Several empirical studies, such as those conducted by Jensen and Meckling (1976), Gompers, Ishii, and Metrick (2003), and Arcot and Bruno (2011), have consistently demonstrated that the adoption of robust corporate governance standards leads to a more extensive investment strategy and a reduced inclination to undertake risky actions. Ultimately, the material provided here corroborates the assertions put forward by the authors.

Conclusion

The configuration of a company's corporate governance is a crucial factor in assessing its capacity to sustain competitiveness, enhance operational effectiveness, and allure investors. Our study aims to provide a methodology for evaluating the level of corporate governance inside a company. The assessment of this framework will be conducted with a corporate governance index specifically created to evaluate compliance with the Corporate Governance Code of the Pakistan

Stock Exchange. Pakistan's economy has been used as a testing ground to examine many significant statistical hypotheses on the influence of exceptional corporate practices on the performance of companies. The investigation concluded that these assumptions are valid. Based on the data, four out of the seven hypotheses may be deemed validated, demonstrating their accuracy. Bota-Avram (2012) states that firms are becoming more aware of the need of implementing efficient corporate governance systems that are seen as advantageous and forward-looking.

Furthermore, we constructed two econometric models to assess the organization's overall performance. The results of the cross-sectional study conducted from 2001 to 2011 are inconclusive and cannot be incorporated into an econometric model that considers numerous significant variables, as supported by statistical evidence in the existing literature, for the purpose of predicting the company's success. The model is irrelevant when it comes to applying best practices in corporate governance. By limiting our investigation to the year 2011, we obtained more dependable conclusions. The results of Tobin's Q study demonstrate a robust correlation between corporate governance and company success. However, this connection fails to fulfil our requirements for statistical significance. This study has significantly enhanced our comprehension of the patterns seen in developing countries regarding the implementation of corporate governance principles and their influence on a company's financial success.

Our study contributes to the existing body of knowledge on the correlation between corporate governance and economic success by showing a favourable association between these two aspects of firm management. Our study makes a distinct contribution to the current knowledge base. The correlation between this relationship became apparent when the Romanian economy saw significant growth and recognised the need for enterprises to adhere to the most optimal practices. Managers should acknowledge that establishing appropriate governance frameworks may significantly enhance the company's financial success. Based on the results, investors should exercise caution when investing in firms that have less rigorous corporate

Citation:

URL: https://garjournal.com/index.php/Journal

Governance accounting archive review
E(ISSN) 3006-2519, P(ISSN) 3006-2527

Page no: 149-165

governance norms. In order to optimise their financial gains, investors need adhere to this procedure. Corporate governance is crucial in identifying the most precise measure of optimum return on investment.No input was provided by the user.V. Achim and colleagues provide empirical evidence from the Romanian economy that demonstrates a significant correlation between corporate governance and firm performance. This hyperlink is constructed by leveraging corporate performance.

The paper was received by the Department of Health Sciences at the State University of New York on March 30, 2015, at 15:15. Aybar and Ficici's 2012 inquiry revealed eleven separate financial transactions. In addition, legislators and regulatory bodies in emerging European countries may use our data as a standard to assess which European states are adopting the most efficient governance measures. This may be achieved by juxtaposing our data with the existing information. This may be achieved by doing a comparative evaluation of our data with the most up-to-date information currently accessible. The article is flawed since it fails to adequately address the topic of corporate governance in Romania, a rapidly growing European country. Since its inception in fiscal year 2009, our objective has been to provide regular corporate governance reporting by following the Comply or Explain Statement, with a preference for use the 2011 year wherever possible. A further study will assess the extended use of suitable corporate governance frameworks in Romania's thriving industry.

Emerging economies, like Romania, are increasingly recognising and accepting the need of corporate governance standards. This is the rationale for this phenomenon. The present study may have many constraints, including the potential inadequacy of the Comply or Explain Statement in effectively addressing crucial elements of corporate governance. The characteristics include the ages, genders, and countries of board members, as well as the challenges related to institutional and block ownership. Consequently, the preservation of corporate governance integrity may be compromised. Future research will include other elements that are currently not addressed in the Comply or Explain Statement into the corporate governance score. These attributes will be

examined in future research. Implementing this research would enhance the dependability of prior discoveries.

References

Achim, M. V.; Borlea, N. S. 2013. Corporate governance and business performances. Modern approaches in the new economy. Germany: Lap Lambert Academic Publishing.

Aguilera, R. V.; Filatotchev, I.; Gospel, H.; Jackson, G. 2006. An organizational approach to comparative corporate governance: costs, contingencies, and complementarities [online], [cited April 2013]. Available from Internet: http://www.kcl.ac.uk/sspp/departments/management/research/papers/theme/hrm/ob/governance.pdf

Agrawal, A.; Knoeber, Ch. R. 2012. Corporate governance and firm performance, in R. T. Christopher, W. F. Shughart II (Eds.). Oxford handbook in managerial economics, Chapter 26. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Al-Hussain, A. H.; Johnson, R. L. 2009. Relationship between corporate governance efficiency and Saudi banks' performance, The Business Review 14(1): 111–117.

Aksu, M.; Kosedag, A. 2006. Transparency and disclosure scores and their determinants in the

Istanbul stock exchange, Corporate Governance 14(4): 277–296. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2006.00507.xArcot, S; Bruno, V. 2011. Silence is not golden: corporate governance standards, transparency, and performance, working paper [online], [cited April 2013]. Available from Internet: http://www.parisschoolofeconomics.eu/IMG/pdf/sarcot_1105.pdf

Bauer, R.; Gunster, N.; Roger Otten, R. 2003. Empirical evidence on corporate governance in europe, the effect on stock returns, firm value and performance, Journal of Asset Management 5: 91–104. http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jam.2240131

Ben Ali, C. 2008. Disclosure quality and corporate governance: evidence from the French Stock

Market, Post-Print halshs-00522350, HAL [online], [cited April 2013]. Available from Internet: https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/file/index/docid/522350/filename/p153.pdf Journal of Business Economics and Management. Article in press Downloaded by [SUNY Health Science Center] at 15:59 30 March 2015 12

Bistrova, J.; Lace, N. 2012. Quality of corporate governance system and quality of reported earnings: evidence from CEE companies, Economics and Management 17(1): 55–61. http://dx.doi.org/10.5755/j01.em.17.1.2251

Bhat, G.; Hope, O. K.; Kang, T. 2006. Does corporate governance transparency affect the accuracy of analyst forecasts?, Accounting & Finance 46: 715–732. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-629X.2006.00191.xBlack, B. S.; Kim, W.; Jang, H.; Park, K. S. 2005. How corporate governance affects firm value: evidence on channels from Korea [online], [cited February 2015]. Available from Internet: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1365945

Black, B. S.; Love, I.; Rachinasky, A. 2006. Corporate governance indices and firms' market values: time series evidence from Russia, Emerging Markets Review 7(4): 361–379.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2006.09.004 Boţa-Avram, C. 2012. Determining a scoring of financial audit practices used by most significant companies listed on Bucharest Stock Exchange, in the context of corporate governance, Revista de Audit Financiar 10(94): 30–40.

Bucharest Stock Exchange. 2008. Corporate Governance Code [online], [cited April 2013].

Brown, L. D.; Caylor, M. L. 2006. Corporate governance and firm valuation, Journal of Accounting and Public Policy 25: 409–434. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2006.05.005

Buiga, A. 2011. Inferential statistics. Applications in SPSS. Cluj-Napoca: Todesco Publishing House.

Cremers, K. J. M.; Vinay, B. N. 2005. Governance mechanisms and equity prices, The Journal of Finance 60(6): 2859–2894. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2005.00819.x

Cromme, G. 2005. Corporate Governance in Germany and the German Corporate Governance

Code, Corporate Governance: an International Review 13(3): 362–367.

Desoky, A. M.; Mousa, G. A. 2012. Corporate governance practices: transparency and disclosure – evidence from the Egyptian exchange, Journal of Accounting, Finance and Economics

2(1): 49–72. Dinu, V. 2008. The ethical dimensions of business, Amfiteatru Economic 10(23): 7–10.

Doidge, C.; Karolyi, A.; Stulz, R. M. 2007. Why do countries matter so much for corporate governance?,Journal of Financial Economics 86: 1–39. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2006.09.002

Dragos, C. 2008. Basic of econometrics and econometric modelling. Cluj-Napoca: Mediamira

Publishing.Driffield, N.; Vidya, M.; Sarmistha, P. 2005. How ownership structure affects capital structure and firm performance? Recent evidence from East Asia, Working Paper [online], [cited April 2013]. Available from Internet: http://129.3.20.41/eps/fin/papers/0505/0505012.pdf.

Eng, L. L.; Mak, Y. T. 2003. Corporate governance and voluntary disclosure, Journal of Accounting and Public Policy 22: 325–345. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4254(03)00037-1

Fallatah, Y.; Dickins, D. 2012. Corporate governance and firm performance and value in Saudi

Arabia, African Journal of Business Management 6(36): 10025–10034. http://dx.doi.org/10.5897/AJBM12.008

Feleagă˘, N.; Feleagă˘, L.; Dragomir, V. D.; Bigioi, A. D. 2011. Guvernanţa corporativă˘ în economiile emergente: cazul României, Economie teoretică˘ şi aplicată˘ 9(562): 3–15.

Citation:

URL: https://garjournal.com/index.php/Journal

Governance accounting archive review

E(ISSN) 3006-2519, **P(ISSN)** 3006-2527

Page no: 149-165

Ficici, A.; Aybar C. B. 2012. Corporate governance and firm value in emerging markets an empirical analysis of ADR issuing emerging markets firms, Emerging Markets Journal.

http://dx.doi.org/10.5195/emaj.2012.18